UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,671                    | 12/28/2005           | Magnus Bengtsson     | P17807-US2          | 1680             |
| 27045<br>ERICSSON IN          | 7590 09/23/200<br>C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 6300 LEGACY                   |                      | MALEK, LEILA         |                     |                  |
| M/S EVR 1-C-1<br>PLANO, TX 75 |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                               |                      |                      | 2611                |                  |
|                               |                      |                      |                     |                  |
|                               |                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                               |                      |                      | 09/23/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/542,671      | BENGTSSON ET AL. |  |  |
|                 |                  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |

|  | LEILA MALEK  | 2611  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | -  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l)  | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection                             | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).   |   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ionaa with 27 CED 44 27 must ba  | filed within two month                                    | a af tha data af                         |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>   | sideration and/or search (see NO   |   | cause                                    |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | •  |   |  |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>  |  |   | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   |  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11   | . ,,   |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (                                       | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | •  | Sand Charles and a second                                 | . ( P (b                                 |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).   | ·  | •   | -  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | ıl and/or appellant fail                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |  |
| /Mohammad H Ghayour/   | /Leila Malek/  |   |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611   | Examiner, Art Unit 2611  |   |  |
|  |  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims require further search and consideration (i.e. 112 first and second paragraphs).